

# The Middlebury People's Press.

In this Paper are published the Public Orders, Resolutions, Laws, Public Treaties, Bankrupt Notices Etc. of the United States, By Authority.

H. BELL, Editor and Proprietor.

MIDDLEBURY VT. AUG. 30, 1842.

VOL. VII--NO. 17.

## The People's Press.

PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY MORNING AT  
NORTH END OF THE BRIDGE, BY  
J. COBB JR.  
by whom all orders for Printing, Books,  
Pamphlets, Bills, Cards, &c., of every de-  
scription will be neatly and fashionably ex-  
ecuted, at short notice.

### TERMS OF THE SEVENTH VOLUME.

Village subscribers, \$2.00  
Mail subscribers, \$2.50  
Advertisers, who take the office, \$1.75,  
or 1.50 cents if paid in six months.  
Companies on stage routes, \$1.75.  
Those who take of Postoffice, \$2.00.  
No papers discontinued unless arranged for in advance.  
All communications must be addressed to the editor Post  
Paid.

## Temperance Department.

PREPARED FOR THE VERMONT PRESS BY  
THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE OF THE VT.  
TEMPERANCE SOCIETY.

### SALE OF ARDENT SPIRITS.

AN EXTRACT FROM AN UNPUBLISHED AD-  
DRESS DELIVERED IN EASTON, PENNSYLVANIA.

"The immense importance which attaches to a right understanding of this subject pads me to remark farther; that, by the sale of ardent spirits, the consumption is directly increased, and of consequence intemperance promoted. I know that there are many who are backward to believe this; but it is the only conclusion to which I can come, by any correct course of reasoning. Ardent spirits are not an article, like bread, of which the consumption, and the trade, are limited by the necessities of our nature; but they are an article of which the presence creates the want, and is constantly enhancing it; so that by increasing the facilities of its distribution you, of course, create an increased demand. If it were a necessary of life, that necessity would limit the consumption and control the market; but, being otherwise, it can have no limit but a depraved appetite, which the presence of its object is ever influencing. It must be true of every thing which has an action either good or ill upon the mind,—and especially if that action be one of temptation,—that the good or ill effect is the deeper, the more the object is before the mind. Put gambling tables at every corner, and you create, of course, a multitude of gamblers,—and put spirits in every store and you create of course a multitude of drunkards. Put lottery schemes in every house, and they will make a multitude of discontented fortune seekers. Put Bibles there and divine truth will make a multitude of penitents.

This course of thought affords an unanswerable reply to those who, admitting the general evils of the sale of intoxicating drinks, meet you in a way like this; 'if I were to desist while my neighbor continues to sell I only lose the custom and he sells the more.' It is a course of thought which shows that every man who has an agency in distributing the poison swells the aggregate of ruin. But let us suppose the contrary. Let suppose that by the cessation of one individual the sale is only transferred, but not diminished, and the consumption remains the same. I will suppose the same of another, or two more, or ten; till, if you please, the consumption is supplied from a single store, while there is no less consumed; what then?

Why then I say that in the crater of that volcano there would burn such terrific fires that men would flee from it as from an earthquake. There is no man who, singly, could sustain the responsibility of dealing out all the misery which infests society from that one cause,—of handing the bitter cup of tears to sorrowing wives, and of famine to impoverished families. Let him sustain his practice by all the common place arguments of the day; as this; my neighbor will if I do not; his house will be called the house of lamentation, and its walls stand as if they were blackened by conflagration. And the nearer you narrow the number to this point, the heavier will the burden be on character and on conscience; but distributed as the responsibility and the mischief now are, among many, their shoulders are strong enough to bear it. Is it not amazing that, at this day, with all the evils which this blighting curse inflicts full in our view we are willing to measure out ignominy by the dram, and sell perdition by the gallon. I know of but one parallel in the world's history. The slave trade, that trade now stamped as piracy, was once, before the soil of England was declared free, the occupation of numbers of England's best inhabitants. Even the pious John Newton narrates in his biography, that he was himself a sharer in its dreadful operations; but he adds, that he soon became tired of an occupation so closely connected with groans and bells and chains. So let us hope, that when light, which dissipates the mists of error and melts the frozen chains of darkness, shall have broken in upon the error and darkness of our times; when our vendors of spirits shall look on the severe miseries of man

which flow from their traffic as the immediate cause; when they behold in broken down families the many disconsolate wives and widows whom intemperance has made; when they see through the prison gates the wretches whom intemperance has there enthralled; when they follow the steps of ruined souls to the barred mansions of final woe, they will become sick of an occupation so closely connected with groans and bolts and moral chains."

### For the People's Press.

Star of the west! whose kindly beam,  
Rises on Freedom's darkest night,  
Thine unobtrusive, radiant gleam,  
Mid storm, and tempests, gathers light.

Star of the west! bright evening Star!  
The first to glid the setting day,  
And when the shades are roll'd afar,  
The last, to melt in light away.

Star of the west! star of the west!  
Oh! ever bright the course you run,  
At morn, at noon, or hour of rest,  
Thy pathway, still is near the sun.

Beam on! bright star! a nation's eyes,  
Are fix'd on thy unclouded ray,  
Beam on! till yet, the morning rise,  
Of a more bright, and glorious day.

### REPORT OF MR. ADAMS,

FROM THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON THE VETO.

The Select Committee, to whom was referred the Message of the President of the United States returning to this House the act, which originated in it, "to provide revenue from imports, and to change and modify existing laws imposing duties on imports, and for other purposes," with his objections to it, with instructions to report thereon to the House, have attended to that service, and respectfully report:

The message is the last of a series of Executive measures the result of which has been to defeat and nullify the whole action of the Legislative authority of this Union, upon the most important interests of the nation.

At the accession of the late President Harrison, by election of the People, to the Executive chair, the finances, the revenue and the credit of the country were found in a condition so greatly disordered and languishing, that the first act of his administration was to call a special session of Congress to provide a remedy for this distempered state of the great body politic. It was even then a disease of no sudden occurrence, and of no ordinary malignity.—Four years before, the immediate predecessor of General Harrison had been constrained to resort to the same expedient, a special session of Congress, the result of which had only proved the first of a succession of palliatives, purchasing momentary relief at the expense of deeper seated disease and aggravated symptoms, growing daily more intense through the whole four years of that Administration. It had expended, from year to year, from eight to ten millions of dollars beyond its income, absorbing in that period nearly ten millions pledged for deposit with the States, eight millions of stock in the Bank of the United States, from five to six millions of trust funds, and as much treasury notes; and was sinking under the weight of its own improvidence and incompetence.

The sentence of a suffering People had commanded a change in the Administration, and the contemporaneous election throughout the Union had placed in both Houses of Congress majorities, the natural exponents of the principles which it was the will of the People should be substituted in the administration of their Government, instead of those which had brought the country to a condition of such wretchedness and shame.—There was perfect harmony of principles between the chosen President of the People and this majority, thus constituted in both Houses of Congress; and the first act of his Administration was to call a special session of Congress for their deliberation and action upon the measures indispensably necessary for relief to the public distress, and to retrieve the prosperity of the great community of the nation.

On the 31st of May, 1841, within three months after the inauguration of President Harrison, the Congress assembled at his call. But the reins of the Executive car were already in other hands. By an inscrutable decree of Providence the chief of the People's choice, in harmony with whose principles the majorities of both Houses had been constituted, was laid low in death.—The President who had called the meeting of Congress was no longer the President when Congress met. A successor to the office had assumed the title, with totally different principles, though professing the same at the time of his election, which, far from harmonizing, like those of his immediate predecessors, with the majority of both houses of Congress, were soon disclosed in diametrical opposition to them.

The first development of this new, and most unfortunate condition of the General Government, was manifested by the failure, once and again, of the first great measure intended by Congress to restore the credit of the country, by the establishment of a National Bank—a failure caused exclusively by the operation of the veto power by the President. In the spirit of the Constitution of the United States, the Executive is not only separated from the Legislative power, but made dependent upon the Legislature, to it. Until a very recent period in our history, all reference in either House of Congress to the wishes of the President, relating to any subject in deliberation before them, was regarded as an outrage upon the rights of the deliberative body, among the first of whose duties it is to spurn the influence of the dispenser of patronage and power.

Until very recently, it was sufficient greatly to impair the influence of any member to be suspected of personal subversion

to the Executive; and any allusion to his wishes in debate was deemed a departure no less from decency than from order. An anxious desire to accommodate the action of Congress to the opinions and wishes of Mr. Tyler had led to the modification of the first bill for the establishment of a National Bank, presented to him for his approval, widely differing from the opinions entertained of their expediency by the majority of both Houses of Congress, but which failed to obtain that approval for the sake of which they had been reluctantly adopted. A second attempt ensued, under a sense of the indispensable necessity of a fiscal corporation to the revenues and credit of the nation, to prepare an act, to which an informal intercourse and communication between a member of the House charged with the duty of preparing the bill, and the President of the United States himself might secure by compliance with his opinions a pledge in advance of his approval of the bill, when it should be presented to him. That pledge was obtained. The bill was presented to him in the very terms which he had prescribed as necessary to obtain his sanction, and it met the same fate with its predecessor; and it is remarkable that the reasons assigned for the refusal to approve the second bill are in direct and immediate conflict with those which had been assigned for the refusal to sign the first.

Thus the measure, first among those deemed by the Legislature of the Union indispensably necessary for the salvation of its highest interests, and for the restoration of its credit, its honor, its prosperity, was prostrated, defeated, annulled, by the weak and wavering obstinacy of one man, accidentally, and not by the will of the People, invested with that terrible power, as if prophetically described by one of our own chosen ministers, at this day, as "the right to deprive the People of self government."

The first consequence of this Executive Legislation was not only to prostrate the efforts of the Legislature itself, to relieve the People from their distress, to replenish the exhausted Treasury, and call forth the resources of the country, to redeem the public faith to the fulfillment of the national engagements, but to leave all the burdens and embarrassments of the public Treasury, brought upon it by the improvidence of the preceding Administration, bearing upon the People with aggravated pressure. The fatal error of the preceding Administration had been an excess of expenditure beyond its income. That excess had been an average of eight millions of dollars a year, at least, during the four years of its existence. The practical system of its fiscal operations had been a continued increase of expenditures and diminution of revenues, and it left as a bequest to its successors, not a reduction of expenses, but a double reduction of revenue to the amount of millions, to occur, of course, by the mere lapse of time, unless averted, within fifteen months, by subsequent legislation.

By the double exercise of the Presidential interdiction upon the two bills for establishing a National Bank this legislation was prevented. The excess of expenditures beyond the revenue continued and increased. The double reduction of revenue, prescribed by the compromise of 1833, was suffered to take its full effect,—no reduction of the expenditures had been prescribed; and, in the course of eighteen months, since the inauguration of President Harrison, an addition of at least fifteen millions to the enormous deficit already existing in the Treasury at the close of the last Administration, is now charged upon the prevailing party in Congress, by those who made it the law, while the exercise of the veto power alone disabled the Legislature itself from the power of applying the only remedy which it was within the competency of legislation itself to provide.

The great purpose for which the special session of Congress had been called was thus defeated by the exercise of the veto power. At the meeting of Congress, at the regular annual session, the majorities of both Houses, not yielding to the discouragement of disappointed hopes and baffled energies, undertook the task of raising by impost duties a revenue adequate to the necessities of the Treasury, and to the fulfillment of the National obligations.

By the assiduous and unremitting labors of the committees of both Houses charged with the duties of providing for the necessities of the revenue, and for the great manufacturing interests of the Northern, Central, and Western States, which must be so deeply affected by any adjustment of a tariff, to raise exclusively a revenue adequate to the necessary expenses of the Government from duties on imports, a tariff bill believed to be nearly if not wholly, sufficient for that purpose, was elaborated and amply discussed through a long series of weeks in both branches of the Legislature. The process of legislation through which alone such a complicated system could be organized, necessarily consumed many months of time; nor were the committees or the House exempted from severe reproach, which the purchased presses of the Executive chief are even yet casting upon Congress, without rebuke or restraint from him. The delays were occasioned by the patient and unwearied investigation of the whole subject by the appropriate committees.

As the period approached when the so called compromise tariff was to be consummated, leaving the Government without any revenue tariff sanctioned by the law, the prudence of Congress, without precipitating their decision upon the permanent system which they fondly hoped to establish, provided and sent to the President a temporary expedient, limited in its operation to the space of one month, during which to avoid, as they thought, the possibility of a collision with the apprehended antipathies of the President, they had suspended for the same month the distribution of the proceeds of the sales of the public lands, which by a previous law, was to take effect the day after the expiration of the compromise. Not only was this more conciliatory measure contemptuously rejected, but in total disregard

to the avowed opinions of his own Secretary of the Treasury, concurring with those, nearly unanimous, of all the most eminent lawyers of the land, in solitary reliance upon the hesitating opinion of the Attorney General, he has undertaken not only to levy taxes to the amount of millions upon the People, but to prescribe regulations for its collection, and for ascertaining the value of imported merchandise, which the law had, in express terms, reserved for the legislative action of Congress.

And now, to crown this system of continual and unrelenting exercise of Executive legislation by the alternate gross abuse of constitutional power and hold assumption of powers never vested in him by any law, we come to the Veto Message referred by the House to this committee.

A comparative review of the four several vetoes which, in the course of fifteen months, have suspended the legislation of this Union, combined with that amphibious production, the reasons for approving and signing a bill, and at the same time striking, by judicial construction, at its most important enactment, illustrated by contemporaneous effusions of temper and of sentiment divulged at convivial festivals, and obtruded upon the public eye by the fatal friendship of sycophant private correspondent, and snipped at its naked nature by the repeated and daring assumption both of legislative and of judicial power, would present anomalies of character and conduct rarely seen upon earth. Such an investigation, though strictly within the scope of the instructions embraced in the reference to this committee, would require a voluminous report, which the scantiness of time will not allow, and may not be necessary for maturing the judgment of the House upon the document now before them.

The reasons assigned by the President for returning to the House of Representatives, with his objections, the bill to provide revenue from imports, and to change and modify existing laws imposing duties and for other purposes, are preceded by a brief dissertation on the painful sensations which any individual invested with the veto power must feel in exercising it upon important acts of the Legislature. The paragraph is worded with extreme caution, and with obvious intent to avoid the assertion, made in such broad and unqualified terms in the letter read at the Philadelphia Independence day dinner party, that Congress can enact no law without the concurrence of the Executive. There is in this paper a studious effort to save any individual from the imputation of asserting the unqualified independence of the Executive upon the Legislature, and the impotence of Congress to enact any law without him. That assertion, made in so explicit and unqualified terms, in the Philadelphia letter, is here virtually disclaimed and disavowed. The exercise of some independence of judgment in regard to all acts of legislation, by any individual invested with the veto power, is here curtailed and narrowed down to the mere privilege of not yielding his well considered, most deeply fixed, and repeatedly declared opinions on matters of great public concernment, to those of a co-ordinate department, without requesting that department seriously to re-examine the subject of their difference. The co-ordinate department to the Legislature is no longer the co-ordinate branch of the Legislature. The power of Congress to enact a law without the co-operation of any individual Executive is conceded, not merely by unavoidable inference, for the closing paragraph of the message recurring again to the same troublesome reminiscence, observes that, after all, the effect of what he does is substantially to call on Congress to reconsider the subject. If, on such reconsideration, a majority of two-thirds of both Houses should be in favor of this measure, it will become a law notwithstanding his objections. The truism of this remark may perhaps be accounted for by the surmise that it was a new discovery, made since the writing of the Philadelphia dinner-party letter; and the modest presumption ascribed to the Constitution that the Executive can commit no error of opinion unless two thirds of both branches of the Legislature are in conflict with him, is tempered by the amiable assurance that in that event he will cheerfully acquiesce in a result which would be precisely the same whether he should acquiesce in it or not.—The aptitude of this hypothetical position may be estimated by the calculation of the changes that the contingency which it supposes is within the verge of possibility.

The reasons assigned by the President for his objections to this bill are further preceded by a narrative of his antecedent opinions and communications on the subject of distributing the proceeds of the sales of the public lands. He admits that at the opening of the extra session he recommended such a distribution, but he avers that this recommendation was expressly coupled with the condition that the duties on imports should not exceed the rate of 20 per cent. provided by the compromise act of 1833.

Who could imagine that, after this most emphatic coupling of the revenue from duties of import with revenue from the proceeds of the sales of the public lands, the first and paramount objection of the President to this bill should be that it unites two subjects, which, as far from having any affinity to one another, are wholly incongruous in their character—which two subjects are identically the same with those which he had coupled together in his recommendation to Congress at the extra session? If there was no affinity between the parties, why did he join them together? If the union was illegitimate, who was the administering priest of the unhallowed rites? It is objected to this bill that it is both a revenue and an appropriation bill. What then? Is not the act of September 4, 1841, approved and signed by the President himself, both a revenue and an appropriation bill? Does it not enact that, in the event of an insufficiency of impost duties not exceeding twenty per cent ad valorem, to defray the current expenses of the Government, the

proceeds of the sales of the lands shall be levied as part of the same revenue, and appropriated to the same purposes? The appropriation of the proceeds of the sales of the public lands to defray the ordinary expenditures of the Government is believed to be a system of fiscal management unwise, impolitic, improvident, and unjust; and it is precisely for that reason that the bill now before the House provides that they shall be so appropriated.

The public lands are the noble and appreciable inheritance of the whole nation. The sale of them to individuals is not a tax upon the purchaser, but an exchange of equivalents scarcely more burdensome to the grantee than if he should receive it as a gratuitous donation. To appropriate the proceeds of sales to defray the ordinary expenses of the Government is to waste and destroy the property. This property is held by Congress in trust. Mr. Tyler speaks of the distribution as if it was giving away the property. It is precisely the reverse. It is restoring it to the owner. To appropriate the proceeds to defray the current expenditures is to give it up to dissipation and waste. It is in political economy precisely the same as if an individual landholder should sell off, year after year, parcels of his estate, and consume its proceeds in the payment of his household expenses. The first principle of political economy necessary for a nation is to raise by taxation within the year the whole sum required for the expenditures of that year. Every departure from this principle is a step in the path of national bankruptcy and ruin. The daily demands of the treasury must be supplied by the income derived from taxation by the year and not by the dissipation of the common property.

The second reason of the President for objecting to the passage of this bill is not more ponderous than the first. It is the destitute and embarrassed state of the Treasury, and the impolicy, if not unconstitutional, of giving away a fruitful source of revenue, which if retained may be seized by the Government and applied to most tidally wants. But the President had just told us that this fruitful source of revenue was a subject wholly dissimilar in its character from that revenue raised by duties of impost—so dissimilar that the union of them formed in his mind an insurmountable objection to the passage of the bill. "I most respectfully submit (says the message) 'whether this is a time to give away the proceeds of the land sales, when the public lands constitute a fund which of all others may be made most useful in sustaining the public credit.' And how could it be made thus useful? Precisely by giving them away. By giving them away forever! For if the principle be once established that the proceeds of the sales of the public lands shall be substituted in the place of revenue by taxation to defray the ordinary annual expenses of the National Government, never more will the people of any State in this Union have the benefit of one dollar from this richest of mines of inexhaustible wealth, bestowed upon them by their bountiful Creator for the improvement of their own condition. But given away—yes, to the last cent given away, forever, to pamper the reckless extravagance of a Government forever preaching retrenchment and economy, and forever heaping million upon million of annual expenditures 'to suckle armies and dry nurse the land.'"

The committee submit to the House their unhesitating opinion that the appropriation of any part of the proceeds of the sales of the public lands to the ordinary annual expenditures would be the only effectual and irrevocable giving away of that great and inestimable inheritance of the American People. That, if once that growing and inexhaustible fund shall be doomed to form the whole or any part of the ways and means for the annual estimates of the receipts and expenditures of the National Government, the People may bid farewell, a long farewell, to every hope of ever receiving a dollar's useful improvement from that gift of God to them, this cruelly and perfidiously wrested from their hands.

Nineteen of the States of the Union, in the ardent, perhaps, in some cases, inconsiderately ardent pursuit of this improvement of their own condition, have become involved, in debt. The greatest portion of this debt has been contracted for the accomplishment of stupendous works to expedite and facilitate the intercourse of travel and of trade between the remotest extremes of this great Republic, swarming, from year to year, with redoubting millions of population. It is no exaggerated estimate of the value of these works to say, that the saving of time, of labor, and of expense to individual citizens of the Union, enjoying the benefit of these public works, more than repays, in every single year, the whole cost of their construction.

But while these immense benefits have been thus secured to the People, as a community of individuals, the States which authorized them have contracted a burden of liabilities heavier than they are able to bear. They need the assistance of a friendly and powerful hand, and where should they find it but in the sympathies of the National Government? In their fidelity to the trust committed to their charge in this immense and almost boundless public domain, the application of the proceeds of the debts due from the States to them, so that by one and the same operation the People of the States will be relieved from the intolerable pressure of their debt, and the common Treasury of the Union will

receive back in payment of debt no small part of the same sums allotted to the States as their respective portions of the distribution.

The committee regret that the shortness of time which they have allowed themselves for the preparation of this report constrains them to pass over numerous other considerations amounting to the clearest demonstration that the distribution among the States of the proceeds of the sales of the public lands will be infinitely more conducive to the ends of justice and to the relief of the people from their embarrassment, than the devotion of the same funds to be swallowed up in the insatiable gulph of the ordinary annual expenses of the Federal Government—to perish in the using like the nine millions of the fourth instalment promised to the States, the seven or eight millions of stock in the Bank of the United States, and the five or six millions of Indian trust and Navy pension funds, all sunk, during the Van Buren Administration, without leaving a wreck behind.

The review of the reasons of the President for objecting to the passage of the bill might be extended far more into detail, and all leading to the conclusion that they are feeble, inconsistent, and unsatisfactory. It remains only for the House to take, by yeas and nays, the question upon the final passage of the bill, and as the majority of the committee cannot indulge, even hypothetically, the absurd hope of a majority either in this or the other House of Congress competent to the enactment of the bill into a law, they leave the House to determine what further measure they may deem necessary and practicable by the legislative authority in the present calamitous condition of the country.

They perceive that the whole legislative power of the Union has been for the last fifteen months, with regard to the action of Congress upon measures of vital importance in a state suspended animation, strangled by the five times repeated stricture of the Executive cord. They observe that, under these unexampled obstructions to the exercise of their high and legitimate duties, they have hitherto preserved the most respectful forbearance towards the Executive chief; that while he has, time after time, annulled by the mere act of his will their commission from the People to enact laws for the common welfare, they have forbore even the expression of their resentment for these multiplied insults and injuries—they believed they had a high destiny to fulfill, by administering to the People in the form of law remedies for the sufferings which they had long endured. The will of one man has frustrated all their labors and prostrated all their powers.

The majority of the Committee believe that the case has occurred in the annals of our Union, contemplated by the founders of the Constitution by the grant to the House of Representatives of the power to impeach the President of the United States; but they are aware that the resort to that expedient might, in the present condition of public affairs prove abortive. They see that the irreconcilable difference of opinion and of action between the Legislative and Executive Departments of the Government is but symptomatic with the same discordant views and feelings among the People. To them alone the final issue of the struggle must be left. In the sorrow and mortification under the failure of all their labors to redeem the honor and prosperity of their country, is a cheering consolation to them that the termination of their own official existence is at hand; that they are even now about to return to receive the sentence of their constituents upon themselves; that the legislative power of the Union, crippled and disabled as it may now be, is about to pass, renovated and revived by the will of the people, into other hands, upon whom will devolve the task of providing that remedy for the public distempers which their own honest and agonizing energies have in vain endeavored to supply.

The power of the present Congress to enact laws essential to the welfare of the People has been struck with apoplexy by the Executive hand. Submission to his will is the only condition upon which he will permit them to act. For the enactment of a measure earnestly recommended by himself he forbids their action unless coupled with a condition declared by himself to be on a subject totally different that he will not suffer them to be coupled in the same law. With that condition Congress cannot comply. In this state of things he has assumed, as the Committee fully believe, the exercise of the whole legislative power to himself, and is levying millions of money upon the People without any authority of law but the final decision of this question depends neither upon legislative nor executive, but upon judicial authority, nor can the final decision of the Supreme Court upon it be pronounced before the close of the present Congress. In the mean time the abusive exercise of the constitutional power of the President to arrest the action of Congress upon measures vital to the welfare of the People, has wrought conviction upon the minds of a majority of the Committee that the veto power itself must be restrained and modified by an amendment of the Constitution itself, a resolution for which they accordingly herewith respectfully report.

JOHN QUINCY ADAMS,  
JNO. M. BOTTES,  
JAMES COOPER,  
K. RAYNER,  
THOS. J. CAMPBELL,  
TRUMAN SMITH,  
F. GRANGER,  
H. S. LANE,  
JEREMIAH MORROW,  
J. A. PEARCE,

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled two-thirds of both Houses concurring therein, That the following amendment of the Constitution of the United States in the seventh section of the first article, be recommended

to the Legislatures of the several states, which, on the adoption of the same, by three-fourths of the Legislatures, shall become part and parcel of the Constitution:

Instead of the words "two thirds," twice repeated in the second paragraph of the said seventh section, substitute, in both cases, the words "a majority of the whole number."

## Locofoco Doctrines.

We have heretofore given several extracts from great lights of locofocoism in Congress—avowing FREE TRADE, DIRECT TAXATION, &c. &c. to be prominent articles of faith in their political creed. But this sometime ago, and that our readers may not forget the position which the locofoco party occupies, we will help them to another dose.

"Mr. Black of Georgia, referred to Mr. Clay's constant support of a tariff; denied that he had in any wise moderated or modified his opinions on that subject, and referred to Mr. C.'s speeches as confirming that position. Did it become the AVOWED FRIENDS OF FREE TRADE to lend their support to the father and advocate of the American system? Mr. B. now, in reply to the charge made against the enemies of these (tariff) bills, that they found fault with one mode of raising revenue without proposing any better mode of their own, laid down an outline of two modes, in which all necessary revenue could be raised WITHOUT ADOPTING PROTECTION IN ANY FORM." Debate July 6, 1842.

"Mr. Davis (of N. Y.) belonged to the party who were called locofocos, and he gloried in that name. He was a FREE TRADE MAN TO THE LAST EXTREMITY, but was not one of those inconsiderate and rash politicians who would push the system on the country whether it was prepared for it or not. The reform he advocated was the conviction of the conversion of the mind. He was then for the adoption of this system, and no other." Same Debate, July 8.

Let us now turn to the locofocoism in the Senate.

We quote Mr. Woodbury of N. H. from the N. Y. Journal of Commerce this— "Mr. Woodbury made a few explanatory remarks. He was not in favor of direct taxation. He would impose duties to the extent of twenty or twenty-five per cent, and take back the lands. This, in his opinion, would give us revenue enough. But if more revenue were still wanting, he would impose the burdens on property."—July 28. [See Woodbury of July 20. By the way, he limits duties to 25 per cent.—the new bill gives an average of about 50 on wool; of course, he thinks that altogether too high.]

"Mr. Calhoun thought 20 per cent the UTMOST PROTECTION WHICH COULD BE GRANTED IN ANY CASE."—Debate in the Senate, July 29, 1842.

"Mr. Calhoun contended for the application of the principle of FREE TRADE to its utmost extent. He believed that a duty of five or ten per cent. would be the best rate of duty for manufacturers." Debate July 29.

"Mr. Woodbury of N. H. declared to-day that if it was necessary to go above 21 per cent, he would want a HORIZONTAL CARTRIDGE OF DUTIES AND NO DISCRIMINATION WHATSOEVER." Same Debate.

[During this debate Mr. Crittenden reproached Mr. Woodbury with his vote in favor of the duties on wool in 1828, to which Mr. Woodbury said in reply that he voted for the amendments, but was opposed to and voted against the bill on the final passage! "Some of the locos (Benton, for instance), are trying the same trick now, to make party capital. Isn't it a beautiful specimen of locofoco honesty?"]

"Mr. Bagby thought it apparent that protection was the aim of this duty (on cotton baling), let gentlemen persuade themselves of what they may. As to the difference between incidental and direct protection, BOTH WERE ALIKE FORBIDDEN BY THE CONSTITUTION AND EX-PEDIENT! He was opposed to either." (He (Bagby) afterwards, launched into the new doctrine of DIRECT TAXES. These, he asserted, would be better for the whole country. They would bring about the equality of burdens, without which there was no equality of rights."—Same Debate.)

"Mr. Sevier stigmatised the (tariff) bill as protective and not for revenue."—Debate July 30.

That will do for this time. Let the locofoco presses in Vermont, try to persuade you that the locos in the Senate are for Protection! See their declarations above, and judge for yourselves.

## Locofocoism in New York.

At a great locofoco meeting in New York city, in July 1841, it was

"Resolved, That we PROTEST AGAINST A PROTECTIVE TARIFF, because a free people need no other protection than to BE LEFT ALONE."

On the 20th the resigned members of the Legislature have published an address to their constituents, setting forth their reasons for the course they have taken. It is signed by seventeen Senators and thirty-four Representatives.

The democratic members met, the day after the resignation, but, there not being a quorum, they could do nothing but adjourn, after much wrangling among themselves.

At Columbus, a spontaneous meeting of the people was held, at which resolutions approving the course of the resigners were adopted.

There were five deaths in the Charity Hospital last week, caused by sunstroke. N. O. Picayune 3d.